

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_82295
Title of the Manuscript:	Fournier gangrene: from infection to reconstruction
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Grammatical corrections as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Word "sin" in describing figure 1 2. Capitalisation of long term results outline in materials and methods <p>Details of biological disorders observed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Acute or chronic Kidney disease (both have different causative agents and can either be contributory to aetiology as in the case of CKD or could be a complication of FG in the instance of AKI) 2. Dysnatremia and Dyskalemia are not defined as to which direction the metabolic balance has shifted <p>Figure 1 needs explanation of what "Deterioration of general state" means</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Although comprehensive, the discussion errs on the side of blocky monotony. Dividing under relevant subsections would be useful for readability of paper</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Good analysis of antibiotic prescription process with adequate light shed over individual patient physiological minutia.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tanmay Jadhav
Department, University & Country	Mid Cheshire Hospitals NHS Trust, United Kingdom