

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_82295
Title of the Manuscript:	Fournier gangrene: from infection to reconstruction
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Abstract requires further modification to make it short, highlighting all the necessary points. Certain spelling errors have to be rectified.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It would be beneficial to the reader if the detailed analysis of Fournier gangrene severity index(FGSI) of all the patients is provided in a tabulated form to aid in stratification of severity of the disease. Thus, this score can be included in the statistical analysis of the study Listing the indications for perurethral foleys catheterisation vs suprapubic catheterisation in Fournier gangrene, emphasising the need for supra pubic catheterisation would be helpful Suggest a good professional English editing software for spell checks as well as certain grammatical errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall, the article is well written covering all the aspects of the topic, with adequate detail on the management aspect. The reader would surely benefit reading the article. Besides minor changes, the article is suitable for publication.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suraj Ethiraj
Department, University & Country	S C B Medical College and Hospital, India