

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_79968
Title of the Manuscript:	“OUTCOME ANALYSIS OF EARLY ORAL FEEDING IN COMPARISON WITH TRADITIONAL FEEDING AFTER UPPER GASTROINTESTINAL SURGERIES”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The objective of the present manuscript was to evaluate and compare early starting of oral feeding and traditional feeding in terms of post operative leak, septic complications, length of hospital stay and observed that early oral diet is safe and viable. It is a well designed study with good observations. However the manuscript requires conclusion/ concluding remark.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijay Kumar Chava
Department, University & Country	Narayana Dental College & Hospital, INDIA