

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_79968
Title of the Manuscript:	“OUTCOME ANALYSIS OF EARLY ORAL FEEDING IN COMPARISON WITH TRADITIONAL FEEDING AFTER UPPER GASTROINTESTINAL SURGERIES”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors made a very creative and thoughtful research which reduced the hospital stay. The work showed the efforts put by the authors. I commend the results of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments	Were there any complications in the group of patients who were not fed? Does the complications in the fed group caused any serious effects? For how many days the complications last? How	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandeep.D
Department, University & Country	Chebrolu Hanumaiah Institute Of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India