

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_78995
Title of the Manuscript:	Obturator Hernia Revisited; Two Case reports with Review of Literature
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Starting with the title, need to be adjusted, for example: "Obturator hernia revisited: presentation and discussion of two case reports". I don't think that the authors did a truly review of literature according to specific guidelines.</p> <p>In the discussion the authors should do reference to the complication occurred on the postoperative and to the length of stay that was high in the two cases...maybe related to the surgical approach?! What the specialists recommended? Laparoscopic approach? I missed this reflection.</p> <p>In the literature table:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The authors should be list with more careful, for example, Yokoyama et al., 1999 (include the year...etc);</li> <li>- The second column should be named "Sample size";</li> <li>- The mortality should be presented in %.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract: line 3, replace "Here" for "In this case study..."</p> <p>In the discussion. 3th paragraph "(...) as described in literature for obturator hernia: stage 1 – pre-operative fat enters obturator foramen; stage 2 – peritoneal dimpling; stage 3 – herniation of viscera."</p> <p>In the conclusion, there are many words with upper case such as Conclude, Pain, Obstruction, Opposite and Prompt – why?</p> <p>In the legend of Figure 1 and 2, should be "Figure" as in the Figure 3 and not Fig. 1 and 2...</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Diana Arvelos Mendes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital Da Luz Lisboa, Portugal</b>