

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_82733
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors associated with improved semen characteristics following microsurgical sub-inguinal varicocelectomy among infertile men in Tamale, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional /General comments	<p>In previous study microsurgical varicocelectomy, particularly the subinguinal approach, remains a technically challenging procedure that requires microsurgical expertise. The authors need to mention some new references like the studies by Franco Palmisano et al. 2019 and Armand Zini. 2007. So, this study related to men in Tamale, Ghana is very important data base for Tamale. Therefore, the manuscript could be suitable for publication.</p> <p>In result section: In table 1, under the table key the authors should be mention which variable that used <i>Chi-square test</i>. In table 2 the authors could be explaining why the variables that not significant include in the table as we know in <i>multivariate logistic regression analysis</i> only include the significant variables</p> <p>In reference section: The authors should be presented the references according to journal guidelines. Eg: Afoko A, Kogan M, ...</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adel Ali Amran
Department, University & Country	Thamar University, Yemen