

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_78580
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-tumour potential of Punica granatum (Pomegranate) seed in testosterone induced Benign Prostate Hyperplastic Wistar albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In Abstract – Methodology mention 70 subjects, they divided into 12 groups with 5 in each (12 X 5 = 60) so count not match. In Material and methodology – Group section they divided into 12 groups with 5 in each (12 X 5 = 60) so count not match with total. Clarify it. Also further grouping many places not clarify which drug administered. E.g.- BPHC2 – Which drug administered was not mentioned. Only dose and route mentioned. See Review comments in article file. Photo – 3 green arrow is not pointing towards lumen. May be arrow is not within image but shape added. So arrow should be within image so that it can not displaced in formatting. Syntax, grammatical and typo/spacing errors need to be corrected along whole article. Short form has been used. Mention abbreviation for short forms. E.g. TP etc. Conclusion – elaborate limitation and future scope of the study. Reference No. 15 & 16 are incomplete 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Font are different shade of black colour. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hiteshkumar M. Chauhan
Department, University & Country	Maharaja Krishnakumarsinhji Bhavnagar University, India