

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_78514
Title of the Manuscript:	UNILATERAL POSTERIOR UVEITIS IN A YOUNG JIA MALE
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors have presented an interesting case report, however the writing and sentence construct needs editing for English language throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Use full description of JIA instead of abbreviation in the title. If the case is suspected JIA (not confirmed) mention such in the title</p> <p>The writing for all the sections needs better phrasing and proper editing. Discussion is very scattered with just plain description of studies with no interpretation and how it relates to this case report. Should also include description of postulated mechanisms related to uveitis in JIA.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>As mentioned in the manuscript anti-nuclear antibody (ANA) and rheumatoid factors were reported negative and does not confirm JIA? Authors need to describe other laboratory findings like Angiotensin-1 converting enzyme, HLA-B27 typing, Was anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (CCP) or ESR performed/available? Include it if available. So, it is not clear whether the case is suspected JIA or confirmed? If confirmed, then the JIA type should be properly defined according to International Rheumatology criteria.</p> <p>Similarly, authors needs to comprehensively describe the clinical findings for various ocular examinations/imaging done for diagnosis of uveitis. In their case report, they have mentioned visual acuity and fundus exam for myopia; however, results for tonometry, slit-lamp exam, any ocular fluid analysis or related blood test as well as imaging have not been mentioned.</p> <p>Other minor comment. – Use of abbreviations that need to be described throughout the manuscript.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Altaf A. Kondkar
Department, University & Country	King Saud University, Saudi Arabia