

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_77274
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum Amino Transaminases Activities and De Ritis Ratio amongst Apparently Healthy Secretors and Non-Secretors of ABH Substances Dwelling in Uyo Urban, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper has an oversized introduction that presents basic information on biochemistry, physiology and hepatology. While the objectives were developed correctly, the study group, its size were selected incorrectly. For a population-based study, 400 subjects are too unrepresentative, and there are statistically significant differences between the study groups in both gender and age. No information is available on exclusion of liver diseases, medications taken or medical conditions affecting AST/ALT activity. Results presented in a clear manner. The discussion is rather sparse and mostly consists of a description of the tables presented in "Results". There is a lack of broader interpretation of the results, as well as comparisons to other studies. The literature consists of 69 items, most of which were published more than 10 years ago.	
Minor REVISION comments	In Table 4 a significant error of "AST/ALT" / "Total Mean Value" item. In the discussion, reference is made to Table 1 as a presentation of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. However, Table 1 actually represents the "Distribution of apparently healthy urban dwellers recruited based on gender and age group".	
Optional/General comments	Nothing more	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lukasz S. Luran
Department, University & Country	Pomeranian Medical University, Poland