

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_88209
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of Endocrine Dysfunctions in Renal Tubular Disorders
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The review is difficult to read due to the length of the sections, lack of objectivity and proper separation of sections.</p> <p>There is a lack of information regarding the type of review that the article deals with, as well as the selection methodology of the articles included.</p> <p>The conclusion is too extensive, not very objective, not leaving a clear message to the reader.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The references are not cited throughout the article.</p> <p>The titles of the figures are too long and need to be reworked.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aline Porciúncula Frenzel
Department, University & Country	Catholic University of Pelotas, Brazil