

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_89334
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between nursing teamwork and missed nursing care by nurses at university teaching and referral hospital of Kigali.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrnh.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-The NURSING Care must be defined. If this particular hospital uses the term nursing care in general, then it needs to be stated.</p> <p>-The researcher included nurses with different educational background in this study and stated with at least 6 months experiences. This is problematic. You need to control for different educational levels, e.g., BSN, MSN, etc as well as for the shift they are working and what units they are working. These are different and cannot be mixed. For example, for a surgical nurse and an emergency room nurse; their duties are not the same and their team work needs and its nature would differ.</p> <p>-The validity and reliability of the instruments used needs to be stated.</p> <p>-What is the reason that marital characteristics are included. If this is something cultural and the researcher feels it is important to discuss, she needs to discuss this.</p> <p>-According to the variables discussed, this study evaluating: team work, communication, labour division, and leadership/management. It would have been much clearer to evaluate each separately.</p> <p>-One of the variables is "During break staff rarely takes extra time." What does this mean? They are taking extra time? Or, they are not taking enough time?</p> <p>-in the recommendation section, the researcher recommends conducting this study in "various setting" and "multidisciplinary professionals." But, did not elaborate on how and why this study did not include those elements.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-remove extra November in the abstract</p> <p>-write out what MNC is</p> <p>-what is dimension? Do you mean score?</p> <p>-end of article: Discussion → change wa to was</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Max Ghaffari
Department, University & Country	Benedictine University, USA