

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_89200
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>WORKPLACE VIOLENCE EXPERIENCES AMONG NURSES WORKING IN TERTIARY HEALTH INSTITUTIONS IN RIVERS STATE</b>
Type of the Article	From the importance view, the article is excellent, especially what the poor and underdeveloped countries suffer in their health system, more than ; in a changing world that needs effective and modern management in order to keep pace with the times, reduce risks and preserve people's lives, especially after Covid 19, study and analyze these areas, I feel a kind of joy and pleasure, and I hope that it will be expanded in the future, but there are some observations that should be taken into account in this article.

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The numbering of the titles does not exist, it must be taken into account, taking into account the rules of the journal</p> <p>The format of the existing chapters must be modified (example problem statement paragraph/ table 01...etc )</p> <p>The hypotheses and the questions put forward are not correlative. The first side touched on the three forms of violence, while in the other hypothesis, it touched on their specifications, age and experience. The three forms were not coordinated with what was mentioned in the question part, where there must be 9 hypotheses or a connection between each type does one of the actions mentioned in the hypotheses</p> <p>literature review should be mentioned after the abstract, either it is included in the background or placed directly as a small title under the introduction, please take it in consideration.</p> <p>To make it better should write ( methodology and add the conceptual framework (figures ))</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>To make the paper more clearer should be structure it at the end of introduction ; introducing all the parts of paper in two sentences</p> <p>Only two types of violence were mentioned. The third type of violence should be discussed in three or four lines in literature review part</p> <p>It is better to elaborate the methodology part with sub titles as (questionnaires, research instruments, samples...etc)</p> <p>Separate the results and discussion, (you mentioned discussion twice)</p> <p>You can add a correlation analysis between all factors and sub factors to give us and confirm your background and study.</p> <p>Your ANOVA results should be more clearer , you can add the equation for example</p> <p>In the hypothesis two you wrote *The result showed that there was no significant difference, There is no significant difference in the experiences of workplace violence among nurses working in tertiary health institutions based on the age of nurses [F(3,493) = 1.97, p&gt;0.05]* and in the abstract there was no experiences of workplace violence among nurses working in tertiary health institutions based on the age s[F (7, 357) = .73; p&gt;0.05], how to explain the differences ? The same of the others variables. Need to take it on consideration</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>From the importance view, the article is excellent, especially what the poor and underdeveloped countries suffer in their health system, more than ; in a changing world that needs effective and modern management in order to keep pace with the times, reduce risks and preserve people's lives, especially after Covid 19, study and analyze these areas, I feel a kind of joy and pleasure, and I hope that it will be expanded in the future.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zennouche Mohamed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Algiers 3, Algeria</b>