

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_86745
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Educational Interventions on Knowledge Regarding Prevention of Acne Vulgaris Among Adolescent Students (12-19 Years) of Selected High Schools of Kashmir.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Basically subject of the paper deals with occurrence of acne among school going girls in Pulwama, which has Socio-Psychological impact. There it attempts to assess knowledge in girls of high school at the beginning and after educational intervention.</p> <p>I feel the serious need of condensing this paper, first. This is to avoid unnecessary visual presentation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Data Analysis – Could be shortened to 'that data is presented in Table 1, Frequency of Scores, Mean(SD at pre-post is presented and analysed using pair t-test and change in frequency by chi sq. 2. Freq in Table 1 can be presented as 15-19 % (n remains same so no need to write in Table), Fig 1 to 4 not required, so delete. 3. Table 2 to 5 must be combined in 1, giving score distribution, mean(SD) & Median and also t-test values. All figures must be deleted. What is mean%? Define clearly and why did you use it. 4. Why use a class 'Good' when it has no one belonging to it. It would lead to false values of Chi-sq, better to delete to reduce DF and better calculated values. Delete all Figures. 5. Not to be accepted at this stage. Revise it fully and resubmit. 	
Minor REVISION comments	English is really needing improvement	
Optional/General comments	Topic of research may be of interest from psychological point of view and thus may need to be encouraged.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Kumar
Department, University & Country	Delhi University, India