

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_81279
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION AND ACCEPTANCE OF NURSING PROFESSION AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN PORT HARCOURT, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrnh.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Methodology needs to be explained in detail.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- What is the method of randomization?</li> <li>- What are the selection criteria?</li> <li>- About the questionnaire: Type of the questionnaire?</li> <li>- How many items in each of the 2 section?</li> <li>- Make a detail on the process of development of the study instruments including the validation details and revisions made if any.</li> <li>- Scoring criteria to be mentioned</li> </ul> <p>Results sections need to be simple; you may try to avoid duplication of the content, for example, you can either use a table or a figure, and avoid using both to explain the same data</p> <p>Tables and figures need to be revised</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- You may put the N=192 as common to the upper, right-hand side of the table</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Spelling errors to be considered revisions	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bivin B. Jose
Department, University & Country	Mental Health Center, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India