

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_77774
Title of the Manuscript:	Granisetron versus Ondansetron for Prevention of Post-Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV) in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Good intention and decent design to compare both drugs. However, the conclusion in the Abstract section is completely wrong.2. No statistical analysis in the Figs 1, 2 and 3. Not sure the statistical difference between the groups3. Poor grammar, need to improve writing skills4. Is the study a double blinded or not? (Not clear from the design)5. It would be much better if there is one control/placebo group. The reason that there is no difference between group G and O probably is because the study is under powered.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joe Liu
Department, University & Country	Michigan State University, USA