

Original Research Article

Ovicidal and Larvicidal activities of n-hexane extract of *Murraya paniculata*(L.) leaves against

Aedes aegypti

ABSTRACT

Aims: *Aedes aegypti* is a widely distributed mosquito and the primary vector of several arboviral diseases attracting major global health concerns. This laboratory study accessed the ovicidal and larvicidal activities of n-hexane extract of *Murraya paniculata* (L.) Jackleaves on eggs and first instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti*.

Study design: Conventional bioassay,

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Zoology, ETF Laboratory and Central research laboratory, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Idiaraba, between February and July 2021.

Methodology: Eggs and larvae were exposed to various quantities of test plant extract ranging from 20ppm to 80ppm for 72 hours at ambient laboratory temperature($30\pm 0.57^{\circ}\text{C}$). Probit analyses and analysis of variance were used to analyze the data.

Results: Results revealed that the plant extract recorded the highest ovicidal activity of 61% at 80 ppm concentration against the eggs of *Aedes aegypti*, after 72 hours. The LC_{50} values of ovicidal and larvicidal activities at 72hrs were 85.162ppm and 80.270ppm respectively, there was a significant difference between the ovicidal and larvicidal activity of each concentration while mortality increased with increasing concentration.

Conclusion: The results suggest that n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* can be used as an environmentally friendly method for the control of *Aedes aegypti*.

Comment [I1]: uppercase

Keywords: *Murraya paniculata*, *Aedes aegypti*, Ovicidal, Larvicidal

Comment [I2]: avoid words that are in the title

1. INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes' importance as medically important insects has been studied throughly recognized. *Aedes aegypti*, for example, is the vector of various arboviral infections that affect humans, including Dengue fever, Chikungunya fever, Yellow fever, and Zika virus, all of which have serious consequences ranging from morbidity to mortality and economic losses [1]. During the 2019 outbreak of dengue fever in Brazil, 1,544,987 cases was recorded with 782 deaths. Additionally, about 132,205 cases of chikungunya resulting in 92 deaths as well as 10,768 cases of Zika virus with three deaths was recorded within the same period [2]. In Nigeria, 163 cases of yellow fever were confirmed in 17 States within 2017 to 2020 [3] and in 2019, these cases was reported to have escalated to an unidentified magnitude to a case fatality

rate of less than one percent in Adamawa State [4,5]. Dengue fever has also been evinced as a growing global health problem affecting more than 2.5 billion people in 100 countries [6].

Several mosquito control strategies have been adopted to minimize the occurrence of the diseases, which focus primarily on eliminating mosquitoes at the different stages their life cycle [7,8]. Transovarian transmission of viruses has been reported to occur in *A. aegypti* [9], hence it will make more sense to kill the immature stages of the mosquito alongside the adults. In areas where endemic mosquito-borne diseases exist, reduction or removal of mosquito breeding sites is frequently used alongside chemical or microbiological compounds that act as ovicides, larvicides, and pupicides [10-13]. As reports of *A.aegypti* resistance to insecticide has become widespread it continues to pose a major threat to public health worldwide [14-17]. Demands for locally available, novel, cheap and reliable mosquito control strategies such as those obtainable in plant materials are high [18], these compounds are biodegradable, less hazardous and rich store house of chemicals of diverse biological activity [12,19]. Insecticidal efficacy of locally available plant species were also determined using powders, solvent extracts (including ethanol, methanol, and Petroleum ether), fixed oils, and essential oils of the candidate plant species' leaves, stem bark, roots, fruits, and seeds [20-22]. Plant essential oils are important natural resources of insecticides, they are selective, biodegradable, environmentally friendly and specificity to target organisms [23]. Many plant extracts such as *Eucalyptus citriodora*, *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Zingiber officinale*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Ocimum basilicum*, have been proven to be highly effective as mosquito repellents against *Aedes spp*, *Culex spp* and *Anopheles spp* [24].

Comment [I3]: *A. aegypti*

The present study investigates *Murraya paniculata* (L.) Jack, a species of small tree, grown in hot climates in the tropics and subtropics, commonly known as orange jasmine or mock orange [25,26]. In the past, *M. paniculata* has been utilised as decorative and therapeutic plant [27], it has a wide range of soil tolerance and a strong fragrance, it is therefore used as a hedge plant and as a food additive in many Indian and Malay dishes [28]. In traditional medicine, *Murraya paniculata* is used to treat diarrhea, abdominal pain, stomach ache, dysentery, headache, edema, thrombosis, and blood stasis. As a result, several phytochemical studies have been conducted on *M. paniculata*. Coumarins and flavonoids, which are active constituents in most natural repellents, were found in the leaf extract [29].

In this present study, the insecticidal potential of n-hexane extract of *Murrayapaniculata* leaf was evaluated on eggs and first instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti*.

Comment [I4]: *M. paniculata*

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Preparation of plant materials

Murraya paniculata leaves were collected from the shrub grown as ornamental plants within the premises of Lagos State University, Ojo. The leaves were confirmed in identification as *M. paniculata* in the Department of Botany, LASU herbarium. The fresh leaves were washed in tap water and then air dried on open benches in the laboratory for over 18 days at temperature of 30 ± 0.57 °C and $70\pm 0.57\%$ relative humidity. The dried leaves were powdered mechanically using Panasonic® MX 1521 model electrical stainless steel blender. The powder was kept in an airtight container at room temperature until it was used for laboratory extraction.

Comment [I5]: *M. paniculata*

2.2 Preparation of Extracts

Using a Pyrex ® 3740 series Soxhlet extractor, dried powdered leaves of *M. paniculata* were extracted with n-hexane. The extract was concentrated in a rotavapour at 45°C under minimized pressure of 22-26mmhg, and the residue was kept refrigerated at 4°C in an amber airtight glass bottle to prevent evaporation and maintain photo stability.

Comment [I6]: italic

2.3 Rearing of test insects *Aedes aegypti*

Larvae of *Aedes aegypti* were collected from water holding containers mainly dis-used tyres within the Ojo campus of Lagos State University using plastic scoops. The larvae were then cultured till adult stage in the laboratory.

Adult *Aedes aegypti* were thereafter reared in Aluminium-wire net-cages with length, breadth and height dimension of 0.5 m × 0.5 m × 0.5 m respectively. Male and female *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes were maintained on 10% sugar solution soaked in cotton ball and held in petri dish. Female mosquitoes were supplied with human volunteers to feed on their blood which provided them with protein for hatching.

2.4 *Aedes aegypti* culture

Adult Female mosquitoes were allowed to lay eggs in 50ml plastic cups lined which contained 30ml water and was lined with filter paper which served as a substrate for mosquito eggs. The filter paper stripe of eggs was dried at temperature of 30 ± 0.57 °C and $70\pm 0.57\%$ relative humidity and stored in air tight container until its required for laboratory experiment. The eggs of *Aedes aegypti* (500) were collected and allowed to hatch at the same time in plastic container filled with water. The larvae were fed with ground-fish meal until they matured into pupae. The pupae were transferred to the net cage till adult emerged.

Comment [I7]: mL

Comment [I8]: mL

2.5 Egg Hatchability (Ovicidal) and Larvicidal bioassay

In 1000mls of water, 1 ml of *M. paniculata* extract was mixed with 2ml of Di-Methyl Sulfur Oxide (DMSO) which served as diluent for faster miscibility in 1000mls of water. For the ovicidal bioassay, serial dilutions were made to achieve 20,40,60,80 ppm for ovicidal bioassay. Twenty eggs were placed into each disposable transparent cup containing 20, 40, 60 and 80 ppm of *M. paniculata* leaf extracts using a magnifying table lens. The bioassay set up consisted of three control; negative control (1) with only Dimethyl sulfoxide, negative control (2) with only distilled water and Dimethyl sulfoxide in proportion of 1000ml to 2ml and positive control with only distilled water. Each experiment and control was replicated three times. Eggs were considered non hatching when first instar larvae did not emerge after 72 hrs.

Comment [I9]: mL

Comment [I10]: *M. paniculata* extract

Comment [I11]: mL

Comment [I12]: mL

The same procedure was adopted for the larvicidal test, Mortality was recorded daily. Larvae was considered dead, when they floated or failed to move any part of their body with gentle swirling of the exposure cup.

Comment [I13]: mL

Comment [I14]: mL

2.6 Data analysis

Quantal data from the number of dead eggs or larvae were analyzed using Probit Analysis computer software (version 1.5, 2012). Lethal Concentrations (LC) values and associated Confidence Limits (CL) were thereby computed. Lethal concentration values with overlapping confidence limits are considered not to be significantly different.

Comment [I15]: Probit Analysis

3. RESULTS

3.1 Activity of n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* against *Aedes aegypti* eggs

Murraya paniculata extract showed ovicidal activity on *Ae. aegypti* eggs, at all concentrations, percentage of non-hatching eggs increased with increasing concentration as shown in Figure 1. There was a significant difference between the ovicidal activity of the extracts at all concentration levels. However, there was no significant difference between the ovicidal activity of the extract at 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours ($P < 0.01$). The negative control test (1) with only Dimethyl sulfoxide, revealed a significant difference in comparison with all concentrations except the 80 ppm concentration ($P < 0.01$). Negative control test (2) with distilled water and Dimethyl sulfoxide delayed hatching of *Aedes aegypti* egg for 48 hours, the ovicidal activity of Negative control test (2) was not significantly different from 40, 60 and 80 ppm concentrations. The lethal concentration (LC) values for the ovicidal activity of n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* leaves against *Aedes aegypti* egg are presented in Table 1.




Fig. 1. Percentage of Non hatching *Aedes aegypti* eggs treated with different concentration of extracts of *Murraya paniculata* at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

Table 1. ovicidal activity of n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* against *Aedes aegypti* eggs

Time of exposure (hours)	LC ₅₀ (PPM)	95% confidence limit		LC ₉₉ (PPM)	95% confidence limit		Chi-square
		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper	
24	44.859	5.721	104.585	3356.088	455.096	440.4×10 ¹⁵	1.070
48	73.519	40.193	269.793	1809.399	379.063	112.5×10 ⁸	0.281
72	85.162	48.486	1015.065	2276.884	410.978	173.1×10 ¹²	0.097

3.2 Toxicity of n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* against first instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti*

The n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* was toxic to the first instar larvae at all concentrations, toxicity increased with increasing concentration, no mortality was observed in all control set ups (Figure 2). At all concentration levels, there was a substantial difference in the extracts' larvicidal activity. There was no significant variation in the extract's larvicidal activity at 24 hours, 48 hours, or 72 hours (P<0.01). The larvicidal effect of the lowest concentration (20 ppm) was not significantly different from the control set ups (P<0.01). Table 2 shows the computed lethal concentration (LC) values for the toxicity of *M. paniculata* n-hexane extract against *Aedes aegypti* egg.

Comment [I16]: *aegypti* egg




Fig. 2. Percentage mortality of first instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti* at 24, 48 and 72 hours

Table 2. Toxicity of n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* leaves against first instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti*

Time of exposure (hours)	LC ₅₀ (PPM)	95% confidence limit		LC ₉₉ (PPM)	95% confidence limit		Chi-square
		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper	
		24	92.848		74.738	141.149	
48	80.892	64.988	121.681	1035.813	427.005	8086.789	0.039
72	80.270	64.421	121.029	1064.224	433.121	8669.380	0.164

4. DISCUSSION

Following scientific verification of the harm caused by synthetic pesticides, knowledge and use of botanical insecticides is increasing in underdeveloped nations. It's also becoming more important in poorer countries, where insecticide poisoning is on the rise, creating environmental and health problems [30]. Botanical insecticides are considered as a viable alternative to synthetic insecticides due to their lack of cytotoxicity, ease of biodegradability, and mimicking of host metabolism [31]. In comparison to synthetic pesticides, the chemicals in botanical insecticides degrade quickly, making them more environmentally benign [32]. In this present study, the n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* leaves recorded the highest ovicidal activity of 61% at 80 ppm concentration against the eggs of *Aedes aegypti*, at 72 hours, which showed similar results obtained by Govindarajan and Karuppannan [33] using n-hexane leaf extracts of *Eclipta alba* L. against *Aedes aegypti* eggs, n-hexane extracts of *Eclipta alba* L showed ovicidal activity of 78.6% at 350ppm against *Aedes aegypti*. Comparably, Reegan *et al.* [34] used hexane extracts of *Limonia acidissima* L. against *Aedes aegypti* egg and found the *L. acidissima* hexane extract caused 60.0% ovicidal activity at 500 ppm. Also, Wariko and Kumar [35] reported

Comment [I17]: insert space

Comment [I18]: idem L17

Comment [I19]: idem L17

that the hexane root extract of *Argemone mexicana* caused 91.1% ovicidal activity respectively at highest concentration against *Aedes aegyptie* ggs. Negative control (1) with only Dimethyl sulfoxide debarred *Aedes aegypti* eggs from hatching for 72 hours while only Dimethyl sulfoxide and distilled water delayed hatching for 48 hours, this may be because Dimethyl sulfoxide slowed down embryogenesis in *Aedes aegypti* egg, a study reported by Yamamoto *et al.* [36] showed that Dimethyl sulfoxide could prevent and cause diapause in eggs of *Bombyx mori*, in a similar study by Cvetković *et al.* [37]. Dimethyl sulfoxide showed toxicity against *Drosophila melanogaster* larvae with an LC₅₀ of 0.42% v/v , hence Dimethyl sulfoxide may be more toxic than it was initially considered.

The hatching process in *Aedes aegypti* is a two-stage mechanism with a specific pattern of hatching decrease after the second day [38, 39]. In this aforementioned studies, the percentage of hatching in *Aedes aegypti* eggs was highest on the second day after it was introduced into water similarly in the current study, there was a steady rise in larval emergence in the control, which recorded the highest hatching after 48 hours, whereas hatching was completely delayed in the treated cups. When compared to the eggs in the positive control, egg hatching was delayed in the n-hexane treated cups.

In the present study n-hexane extract of *M. paniculata* showed toxicity to the first instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti* at all concentrations, there was a significant difference between the larvicidal activity of each concentration tested and toxicity increased with increasing concentration, no mortality was observed in all control set ups (Positive control (1) with only distilled water, Negative control (1) with only Dimethyl sulfoxide and Negative control (2) with only Dimethyl sulfoxide and distilled water), There was no significant difference between the larvicidal effect of the lowest concentration (20 ppm) and the control set ups (P<0.01).

For larvicidal activity, n-hexane extract of *M.paniculata* showed similar results obtained by Rawaniet *al.* [40] who worked on the larvicidal effect of Crude phyto extract of *Murraya paniculata* on first, second, third and fourth instar larvae of *Culex quinquefasciatus*, with an LC₅₀ and LC₉₉ value of 0.10 and 0.64 at 24 hours respectively, 0.13 and 0.47 at 48 hours and 0.08 and 0.23 at 72 hours. Similarly, Ramar and Jeyasankar (41) tested the larvicidal activity of chloroform extract, ethyl acetate and petroleum ether extractsof *M.paniculata*against first instar larvae of *Aedes aegypti*, Chloroform extract showed highest larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* with the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values of 57.58 and 246.20ppm respectively followed by petroleum ether of larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* with the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values of 83.58 and 289.26ppm respectively, Ethyl acetate extract of *M. paniculata* exhibited the least larvicidal activity among the extracts used. Also, Reegan *et al.* [42] tested the larvicidal activity of purified fractions of a n-hexane extract of *Limoniaacidissima* L. (Rutaceae) leaves against *Aedes aegypti*, the LC₅₀ for L3 larvae ranged from 4.11 to 23.53 ppm.

Comment [I20]: *M. paniculata*

Comment [I21]: *M. paniculata*

Comment [I22]: extracts of *M. paniculata* against

Singh *et al.* [43] also reported the larvicidal activity of the leaf extract of *Ocimum canum* against *Aedes aegypti*. The LC₅₀ values for 2nd, 3rd and 4th instar larvae were 177.82, 229.08 and 331.13 ppm, respectively.

The larvicidal activity observed can be attributed to the high flavonoid content which is one of the major secondary metabolites obtained after phytoconstituent analysis of *M. paniculata* leaves as reported by Sonter *et al.*, [44], and hexane extract was also observed to contain a high amount of Cyclohexane and fractionization of the extracts produce six fractions some of which exhibited high antibacterial activities

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study documents the potential of *M. paniculata* as a mosquito control agent, hence it can be used in mosquito vector control. However, there is need for further studies to determine and isolate the active constituents of *M. paniculata* responsible for its insecticidal properties.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Fofana D, Beugré JMV, Yao-Acapovi GL, Lendzele, SS. Risk of dengue transmission in cocody (Abidjan, Ivory Coast). Journal of parasitology research. 2019:4914137:1-7.
2. De-Campos-Bortolucci W, Marko-de-Oliveira HL, Roque-Oliva L, Gonçalves JE., Piau-Júnior R, Mariano-Fernandez CM et al. Crude extract of the tropical tree *Galesia integrifolia* (Phytolaccaceae) for the control of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae. Revista de Biología Tropical. 2021:69(1):153-169.
3. Adogo LY, Ogoh MO. Yellow fever in Nigeria: a review of the current situation. African Journal of Clinical and Experimental Microbiology. 2020:21(1):1-13.
4. Otu A, Ebenso B, Etokidu A, Chukwekezie O. Dengue fever- an update review and implication for Nigeria and Similar countries. African Health Sciences. 2019:19(2):2000-2007.

5. Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC). Yellow Fever Monthly Situation Report in Nigeria. May, 2019 Report. www.ncdc.gov.ng; 2019.
6. Hasan S, Jamdar SF, Alalowi M, Al Ageel Al Beajji SM. Dengue virus: A global human threat: Review of literature. Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry. 2016;6(1):1–6. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-0762.175416>
7. Remia KM, Logaswamy S. Larvicidal efficacy of leaf extract of two botanicals against the mosquito vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources. 2010;1(2): 208- 212.
8. Tabanca N, Ali A, Bernier UR, Khan IA, Kocyigit- Kaymakcioglu B, Oruc-Emre EE, Unsalan S, Rollas S. Biting deterrence and insecticidal activity of hydrazide-hydrazones and their corresponding 3-acetyl-2,5-disubstituted-2,3-dihydro-1,3,4- oxadiazoles against *Aedes aegypti*. Pest Management Science. 2013;69: 703-708.
9. Heath CJ, Grossi-Soyster EN, Ndenga BA, Mutuku FM, Sahoo, MK, Ngugi HN et al. Evidence of transovarial transmission of Chikungunya and Dengue viruses in field-caught mosquitoes in Kenya. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2020;14(6):1-17.
10. Amer A, Mehlhorn H. Larvicidal effects of various essential oils against *Aedes*, *Anopheles*, and *Culex* larvae (Diptera, Culicidae). Parasitology Research. 2006;99:466–472.
11. Semmler M, Abdel-Ghaffar F, Al-Rasheid K, Mehlhorn H. Nature helps: from research to products against blood-sucking arthropods. Parasitology research. 2009;105(6):1483-1487.
12. Benelli G. Plant-borne ovicides in the fight against mosquito vectors of medical and veterinary importance: A systematic review. Parasitology Research. 2015;114:3201–3212.
13. Weeratunga P, Rodrigo C, Fernando SD, Rajapakse S. Control methods for *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti*. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2017;8:CD012759. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012759.
14. Hemingway J, Ranson H. Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease. Annual Review of Entomology. 2000;45(1): 371-391.
15. Ranson H, Lissenden N. Insecticide resistance in African *Anopheles* mosquitoes: a worsening situation that needs urgent action to maintain malaria control. Trends in parasitology. 2016;32(3):187-196.
16. Naqqash MN, Gökçe A, Bakhsh A., Salim M. Insecticide resistance and its molecular basis in urban insect pests. Parasitology research. 2016;115(4):1363-1373.

17. Yang F, Schildhauer S, Billete SA, Yashimizu MH, Payne R, Pakinyan MJ et al. Insecticide resistance status of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in California by Biochemical assays. *Journal of Medical Entomology*. 2020;57(4):11276- 1183.
18. Yakob L, Walker T. Zika virus outbreak in the Americas: the need for novel mosquito control methods. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2016;4(3): e148-e149.
19. Rathy MC, Sajith U, Harilal CC. Plant diversity for mosquito control: A preliminary study. *International Journal of Mosquito Research*. 2015;2(1): 29-33.
20. Denloye AA, Ajelara KO, Rasak AO, Eshilokun A, Makanjuola WA. Insecticidal activity of petroleum ether extract and essential oil of *Chenopodium ambrosioides* L. (Chenopodiaceae) against *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera, Culicidae). *Acta Entomologica Sinica*. 2009;52(8):923-928.
21. Oyewole IO, Moronkola DO, Ogunwande IA., Okoh H, Ibadapo CA, Denloye AA. et al. Larvicidal activity of the essential oil from *Phyllanthus amarus* Sch. et Thonn (Euphorbiaceae) against three species of mosquitoes. *Der Pharmacia Lettre*. 2010;2(6):136-141.
22. Ajelara KO, Denloye AA, Alafia AO, Awusinu K, Bajulaiye, AA, Muse, WA. Toxicity of Smoke from Pelletized Leaf Powder and Essential Oil of *Hyptis suaveolens* (L.) Poit (Wild Spikenard) Against *Anopheles gambiae* (Giles, 1902) (Diptera, Culicidae). *Nigeria Journal of Entomology*. 2018;34: 61-68.
23. Pavela R. Larvicidal property of essential oils against *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Industrial Crop Production*. 2009;30: 311-315.
24. Tjahjani S. Efficacy of several essential oils as Culex and Aedes repellents. *Proceedings of ASEAN Congress of Tropical Medical Parasitology*. 2008;3: 33-37.
25. Quattrocchi U. *CRC World Dictionary of Medicinal and Poisonous Plants: Common Names, Scientific Names, Eponyms, Synonyms, and Etymology*, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, p. 1747; 2012.
26. Sambamurty AVSS. *Taxonomy of Angiosperms*. IK International Pvt. Ltd. *New Delhi, India*, pp.408-417; 2005.
27. Schmelzer GH, Gurib-Fakim A. *Plant Resources of Tropical Africa. Medicinal Plants 2*, PROTA Foundation: Wageningen, The Netherlands; 2013.
28. Ng MK, Abdulhadi-Noaman Y, Cheah YK, Yeap SK, Alitheen NB. Bioactivity studies and chemical constituents of *Murraya paniculata* (Linn) Jack. *International Food Research Journal*. 2012;19(4):1307-1312.

29. Dosoky NS, Satyal P, Gautam TP, Setzer WN. Composition and biological activities of *Murraya paniculata* (L.) Jack Essential Oil from Nepal. *Medicines*. 2016;3(1): 7.
30. Isman M. Botanical insecticides: for richer, for poorer. *Pest Management Science*. 2008;64(1):8-11.
31. Dubey N, Srivastava B, Kumar A. Current status of plant products as botanical pesticides in storage pest management. *Journal of biopesticides*. 2008;1(2): 182-186.
32. Grzywacz D, Stevenson P, Mushobozi W, Belmain S, Wilson K. The use of indigenous ecological resources for pest control in Africa. *Food Security*. 2014;6(1): 71-86.
33. Govindarajan M, Karuppanan P. Mosquito larvicidal and ovicidal properties of *Eclipta alba* (L.) Hassk (Asteraceae) against chikungunya vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Linn.) (Diptera: Culicidae). *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2011;4(1): 24-28.
34. Reegan AD, Gandhi MR, Paulraj MG, Ignacimuthu S. Ovicidal and oviposition deterrent activities of medicinal plant extracts against *Aedes aegypti* L. and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives*. 2015;6(1):64-69.
35. Warikoo R, Kumar S. Oviposition altering and ovicidal efficacy of root extracts of *Argemone mexicana* against dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2014;2(4):11-17.
36. Yamamoto T, Mase K, Sawada H. Diapause prevention effect of *Bombyx mori* by dimethyl sulfoxide. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(5):e64124.
37. Cvetković VJ, Mitrović TL, Jovanović B, Stamenković SS, Todorović M, Đorđević M et al. Toxicity of dimethyl sulfoxide against *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biol Nyssana*. 2015;6(2):91-95.
38. Weissman-Strum A, Kindler SH. Hatching of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) eggs, a two-stage mechanism. *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 1963;9(6):839-847, ISSN 0022-1910.
39. Quraishi S. Hatching pattern of *Aedes aegypti* eggs. *Nature*. 1965; 207(4999): 882- 882.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/207882a0>
40. Rawani A, Haldar KM, Ghosh A, Chandra G. Larvicidal activities of three plants against filarial vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). *Parasitology research*. 2009;105(5): 1411-1417.

41. Ramar G, Jeyasankar A. Phytochemical screening, larvicidal and pupicidal activity of *Murraya paniculata* (L.) jack (rutaceae) leaf extracts against three important vector mosquitoes (diptera: culicidae). International Journal of Current Research, Plant Biology. 2016;3(10):150-156
42. Reegan AD, Gandhi MR, Paulraj MG, Balakrishna K, Ignacimuthu S. Effect of niloticin, a protolimonoid isolated from *Limonia acidissima* L.(Rutaceae) on the immature stages of dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* L.(Diptera: Culicidae). Acta tropica. 2014;139: 67-76.
43. Singh NP, Kumari V, Chauhan D. Mosquito larvicidal properties of the leaf extract of a herbaceous plant, *Ocimum canum* (Family: Labiatae). The Journal of communicable diseases. 2003;35(1):43-45.
44. Sonter S, Mishra S, Dwivedi KM, Singh PK. Chemical profiling, in vitro antioxidant, membrane stabilizing and antimicrobial properties of wild growing *Murraya paniculata* from Amarkantak (M.P.). Scientific reports, Nature Portfolio. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87404-7> accessed on 15th May, 2022.