

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_83238
Title of the Manuscript:	Conservation threats of White-bellied heron (<i>Ardea insignis</i>) in Zhemgang district, Bhutan.
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Results are not clear No data treatment is carried out Table1 doesn't exist</p> <p>Conservation threats are based on observations</p> <p>The conclusion is too long. All points in the conclusions should reflect from main results aimed in the research.</p> <p>all data should be processed by statistical analysis or by ecological indices</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Document lacks much details the paper does'nt contribute to the body of knowledge the paper is not technically sound Some references are not appropriate for the topic being discussed</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Pr Ahlem Guerzou
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	University of Djelfa, Algeria