

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_89723
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANTIFUNGAL AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY ON DIFFERENT PART OF CARICA PAPAYA LINN
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In this manuscript, the researchers presented the pharmacological properties of Carica Papaya, which has high antifungal and antibacterial properties. The manuscript is overall well-written, and the results are straightforward. I have minor comments: 1. The conclusion part is somewhat unclear and it needs to be rewritten. 2. The authors should explain why they did not present the results for concentrations higher than 100 mg/ml albeit they clearly state on page 5 that they have also used 125 mg/ml, 150 mg/ml, 175 mg/ml, and 200 mg/ml.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Baran Teoman
Department, University & Country	New Jersey Institute of Technology, United States