

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_85965
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Pharmaceutical Care Practice in Two Tertiary Health Care Facilities in Central Monrovia, Liberia
Type of the Article	Data Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ethical consideration was not done.</li> <li>Abstract is too long and non standard abbreviations were used.</li> <li>Author stated objectives instead of aim in the abstract.</li> <li>There is a mix up of American and Britain English in the write up and some tenses and punctuations not correctly used.</li> <li>Some abbreviations not define (e.g. PC).</li> <li>Some tables are confusing ( table 2-3, 5-13) and not self-explanatory</li> <li>Author has adopted more than one referencing style and citations are not indicated by reference number in brackets.</li> <li>Some references quoted in the text are not in reference lists and vice versa.</li> <li>Footnotes not placed below some tables.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some references may be considered obsolete (e.g. Hepler, 1990), abbreviations should be in bracket after mentioning words in full, for example John F. Kennedy (JFK),	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Authors should strictly adhere to author guidelines of journal	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes. Ethical approval was not obtained from the two facilities ethics committees and/or sector of government saddle with this responsibility.</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vallada Atinga
Department, University & Country	Gombe State University, Nigeria