

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_80823
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF GENDER ON THE PREVALENCE OF DEPRESSION IN THE POPULATION OF QUETTA, PAKISTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Abstract:</b> This should be written in whole and not as the body of the work is segmented (abstracts are synopses, summaries or outlines written en bloc). No need for background, method, result and conclusion.</li> <li>The author wrote SPSS version 20 (in the abstract) and SPSS version 26 (in data analysis) as the statistical package used to enter and evaluate data. The author should specify one.</li> <li>The instrument for data collection is the Patient Health Questionnaires (PHQ-9) which is already validated. If the researcher includes additional characteristics to this instrument (PHQ-9) in order to measure the symptom of depression, the validity of the original instrument is altered. The researcher has to revalidate it, see if the reliability of the adapted instrument is good enough so as to be used for the study. The reliability coefficient should be stated in the article.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Edit the work. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rephrase the highlighted sections under method (of abstract), background, and discussion.</li> <li>Punctuations should be appropriately placed.</li> <li>Wrong spellings should be corrected.</li> <li>Arrange the work well.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is good. There is originality in the research.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Wokoma Tamuno-Olo Abbott</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Government Technical College, Port Harcourt., Nigeria</b>