

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_80615
Title of the Manuscript:	Serological evidence of rickettsial infection by Weil-Felix test in tertiary care hospital in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) How the sample size was arrived at was not stated as no formula was used to calculate the sample size.2) Weil-Felix test is a no-specific test for rickettsial infection. While it is important in resource-limited areas, it has limitations which ought to be stated by the author as it could influence the result3) Why was indirect immunofluorescence technique as gold standard not used? This should be stated.4) No test of significance was performed by the author which is necessary for appropriate interpretation of result.	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical errors noted in: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Abstract line 42) Introduction, second Page, Line 83) Discussion line 5 and 6, second page line 7 and 10	
Optional/General comments	A good study but needs to pay attention to methodology, result and discussion. Without a test of significance, it may be difficult to conclude to what extent Weil-Felix test can detect Rickettsial disease.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Obi-Nwosu Amaka I
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria