

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_90335
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Community Behavior in Disposing of Garbage on the Spread of Malaria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Type of study and name of research design are missing from abstract.</p> <p>Equation must be written in the equation section of word software holding a number and relevant reference. Describe the symbols in equation 1.</p> <p>First column of table 1 a, 1 b is vague. Regression word appeared with some ...</p> <p>The paper organized very well. The description is good.</p> <p>But the equation defined as methodology create indiscernible points for reader for the results presented in tables.</p> <p>The presentation and description of results by tables need description of symbols once in the results and discussion chapter. Then, main achievement of each table must be appeared with its convincing reason below the tables.</p> <p>I recommend authors set up a table of literature review for the similar studies in this regard.</p> <p>The conclusion is simple words missing key points of tables and main achievements of study. Please add future research direction and main point of objective followed as well as key points.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Malek Hassanpour
Department, University & Country	Osmania University, India