

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_89188
Title of the Manuscript:	Amazon and Brazilian polyherbal preparation for treatment of necrotizing fasciitis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Abstract body</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introductory phrase is neither accurate (Antibiotic resistance is not becoming, it is already for decades a serious challenge) nor related to the study <p>"Antibiotic resistance is becoming a serious challenge to the public health, particularly in the treatment of infectious diseases"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Several modifications should be made the text body (please check the manuscript) - Please modify the conclusion, it is not supported by the study: <p>"These results show that it is possible to introduce medicinal plants as adjunctive therapy or as the main therapy for the treatment of necrotizing fasciitis."</p> <p>Eg. These results suggest that certain medicinal plants could represent a treatment option and that further studies are considered.</p> <p>2. Key words: "coronavirus" word is not related to the study</p> <p>3. Main body text:</p> <p>"This decoction has shown antibiotic and anti-inflammatory properties with no side effects."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - based on ? is this is a result obtained with this study or previous studies? - Are these properties demonstrated in vitro and/or in vivo - the results are included in the protocol, not a clear presentation - there are no conclusions in the text body 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niculae Mihaela
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania