

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_87574
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Affecting Covid-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in University Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The article is an original research work describing the factors affecting COVID-19 Vaccine hesitancy among university students. It contributes to a less explored yet important aspect of COVID-19 Pandemic. So, the article can be accepted with the following Minor suggested revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The title of the paper would be better suited if the location is specified in it like "Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus".</li><li>2. The keywords are traditionally written in alphabetical order separated by semicolons. The author(s) may please take a note of it.</li><li>3. In the Materials and Methods Section, the scientific reason for excluding the students with physical disabilities need to be specified.</li><li>4. In all the tables, comma has been put for writing percentages which is incorrect way. Dots must be used. For example, 43.9 and NOT 43,9</li><li>5. Some numbers are not visible legibly in the figure 1 as they are overlapping with diagram parts. Please correct the same.</li><li>6. The paper has multiple grammar and formatting mistakes in the manuscript that need to be resolved. Some of them have been commented upon by the reviewer in text for the author(s) to take note of. These need to be sorted before the paper can be accepted for publication.</li><li>7. The author(s) need to recheck the formatting of the entire paper for consistency in font types &amp; sizes, line spacing, spacings after a full stop and sequencing.</li></ol> <p>It is advised to get the paper proof read once again for identification of formatting and grammar mistakes before re-submission.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avdesh Bhardawaj
Department, University & Country	India