

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_85436
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Detection of Virulence genes (rmpA2 , iuc& iroB) of Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae in Clinical Isolates From Patients in Khartoum State, Sudan.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>1- The entire manuscript requires major changes especially the language. For instance-</b> The statement "Patients should not start any antimicrobial therapy for at least 72 hours prior to sample collection" given in the inclusion criteria fails to deliver the right meaning. <b>2- Reference should be added for String test</b> <b>3- Reference number 16 should be cited for CLSI guidelines only</b> <b>4- Colistin sensitivity testing is recommended by Broth microdilution method as per CLSI guidelines. Therefore, the method used in this manuscript is incorrect.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1- Month & Year of samples collection should be included in the manuscript 2- A justification for using only four antibiotics will be highly appreciated 3- The grammatical errors need to be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Results given in the tables should not be repeated in the text.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitin Kumar
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis Medical College for Women, Symbiosis International (Deemed University), India