

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_81451
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern and outcome of Covid -19 in kidney transplant recipients admitted in a tertiary care centre during the second wave of the pandemic in India: A retrospective study
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Major concern: The statement "no difference was noted as far as vaccination status was concerned" can be misleading particularly to the general population. This could potentially lead to more people refusing COVID-19 vaccination. Until more data are available, I would recommend removing this statement.</p> <p>Questions related to COVID-19 vaccine In this study, most of the vaccinees had received only one dose of the vaccine. It is likely that one dose of COVID-vaccine is ineffective in the immunocompromised population How many patients in each group received 1, 2, or 3 doses of the vaccine (did all patients received the same vaccine brand?) What was the time period between COVID-19 vaccine and documented COVID infection</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	87% of patients received doxycycline therapy. What was the indication?	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Phuong-Thu T. Pham
Department, University & Country	David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, USA