

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_81451
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern and outcome of Covid -19 in kidney transplant recipients admitted in a tertiary care centre during the second wave of the pandemic in India: A retrospective study
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-Sample size is concerning:</p> <p>16 participants in retrospective approach is too small to show difference based on the desired outcome. Revise power/sample size and include more participant data.</p> <p>2-Under Materials and methods</p> <p>Third paragraph reads: P. All of our admitted patients were on triple drug immunosuppression with tacrolimus, antiproliferative (mycophenolate mofetil or azathioprine) and steroids.</p> <p>This regiment is no the standard of care for maintenance IS. What are the criteria for participant selection? Is it a cohort of highly sensitized patients?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Place reference in text as they appear- refrain from superscripts	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Osama Osman
Department, University & Country	St Louis University, USA