

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_80251
Title of the Manuscript:	Prognosis of Covid 19 according to pneumonia types
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In fig 1, Labels are required for A,B,C & D with its interpretation in brief</p> <p>Justification requires with scientific explanation and references for less lobes involvement and more significance statistically and more lobes but less significance in relation to mortality which seems contradictory, please confirm</p> <p>Above table no 2, It is the obvious finding, put a light on lobar pneumonia for its less % relation with mortality</p> <p>ODSS- Full form?? Not a wellknown abbreviation</p> <p>Please corelate with presence or absence of previous comorbid condition or immunocompromise condition with its % and management responce</p> <p>Referenes are very less to justify the article, require more justification with citations in discussion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Flow is missing Ex. defined infection</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [r1]: Defined as an infection

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renu Bharat Rathi
Department, University & Country	Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences, India