

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_79344
Title of the Manuscript:	"TREATMENT OUTCOME OF PULMONARY AND EXTRA PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS PATIENTS REGISTERED AT DOTS CENTRE IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, MYSURU, KARNATAKA, INDIA."
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1] CBNAAT- long form is required to understand diagnosis method.</p> <p>2] Check references in text as per format-ref. No. and then full stop</p> <p>3] in conclusion avoid extra comma</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1] The present study shows that out of 197 TB patients 100 (50.76%) have family history of TB and 120(60.91%) suffering with EPTB and remaining 77(39.08%) have PTB Grammatical mistake –above sentence on page 3 Change as- The present study shows that out of 197 TB patients 100 (50.76%) have family history of TB and 120(60.91%) were suffering with EPTB and remaining 77(39.08%) have PTB</p> <p>2] . (and 16 (30.96%) were previously treated.- Here bracket and full stop is extra on page 3 actually sentence should be continued.</p> <p>3] on page 13 ---Total number of patients recruited was 197 among them 156(73.6%) were males, 128(60.38%) of the patients had rural residence and 84(39.62%) were from urban setting. Was should be replaced by were</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Good research paper which shows excellent objective and outcome of research work. Nice discussion presentation.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Padma Laxmikant Ladda</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Appasaheb Birnale College Of Pharmacy, India</i>