

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_79344
Title of the Manuscript:	"TREATMENT OUTCOME OF PULMONARY AND EXTRA PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS PATIENTS REGISTERED AT DOTS CENTRE IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, MYSURU, KARNATAKA, INDIA."
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are several inconsistencies in the study</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The title, aim and conclusion must be consistent.2. In the abstract the authors mention that it is a prospective observational study, but in the Method and Methodology section they say that it is a retrospective case series analyzes.3. In the abstract the authors mention that the patients were diagnosed by microbiological confirmation and by clinical diagnosis, but in Table 2 they say that by ZN and CBNAAT.4. In the abstract, the authors mention that treatment success rate is (0.5%), but in the Conclusion section, they say that the mean treatment success rate of PTB patients was 80.59% and in EPTB was 73.33%?5. On page 2, whenever acronyms are used, the first time its full meaning should be put, GI system.6. The Method and Methodology section is very poor, the diagnostic methodologies are not explained, nor the clinical criteria to consider the cases.7. In Table 3, which is the most important, the p values are missing.	

Review Form 1.6

	If possible, update references to 2020-2021	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guadalupe García Elorriaga.
Department, University & Country	Instituto Mexicano Del Seguro Social(IMSS), Mexico