

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_79344
Title of the Manuscript:	“TREATMENT OUTCOME OF PULMONARY AND EXTRA PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS PATIENTS REGISTERED AT DOTS CENTRE IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, MYSURU, KARNATAKA, INDIA.”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper has valid information, yet it should be re-written and re-constructed to meet the international readers' standard in both format and language and clarification as indicated in the text heightened and commented</p> <p>Title: avoid quotation, remove abbreviations</p> <p>ABSTRACT: should be according to the journal guideline, others indicated in the text</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please also consider abbreviations • There are missed headings such as statistical analysis part • Reference citation problems <p>**Overall authors should consider all the comments and heightened texts and language editions, where before these it might not fit for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper should be re-arranged and re-written based on the given suggestion in the text and language improvement.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Getahun Asebe Gulich
Department, University & Country	Gambella University, Ethiopia