

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_77842
Title of the Manuscript:	An opinion on mosquitoes' role in HIV transmission
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract's Background Does Not mention anything on the Opinion. A Statement of the Opinion and a Summary of the reasons for the Author's Perspective is required in the Background (Probably in Line 4 of the Abstract.</p> <p>The Abstract also Does Not Mention the Purpose of writing the Opinion and the Methods Used for the Opinion, which is critical.</p> <p>The Abstract Does Not give a Conclusion of the Opinion. My suggestion such as the "Reevaluation or Rethinking of the HIV Transmission and the role of Mosquitoes, is required".</p> <p>The "Introduction" of the Body should start with HIV Transmission, main modes, then introduce the Transmission by Mosquitoes and Why? such as, prevalence of Mosquitoes in Africa/South Africa, due to favourable humid weather, poor waste water/Sewerage drainage, open sewers or stagnant water etc.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The Author's Opinion on the Mosquito Transmission also need to come out clearly in the Conclusion of the Paper. For instance, the Author may consider adding the word Transmission to the statement "consistent correlation between Mosquito transmission and HIV....."</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The Opinion is generally well written with a balanced view of different Opinions in the Field and supported with Examples</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mburu Samuel</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Kirinyaga University, Kenya</i>