

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_88719
Title of the Manuscript:	Wood anatomical study of <i>Osyris quadripartita</i> Salz. ex Decne (African sandalwood) from the Northern Western Ghats, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>You should mention the purpose of the study with its futuristic approaches in <b>Abstract</b> and <b>Introduction</b> section, so that the research paper would reflect the authenticity and relevance of your work.</li><li>Grammatical mistakes should be corrected as it includes heavy errors in spelling as well as sentences.</li><li>Please describe the discussion of your results as you have written the heading as 'Results and Discussion'.</li><li>Mention the conclusion of your study with judgement.</li><li>Captions of figures and tables are not highlighted or cited in the theory portion. Please check and revise the reference/ bibliography section.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Figure legends are written below the picture or figures.</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaiphali Saxena
Department, University & Country	Government Post Graduate College, India