

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_86973
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative foliar micro-morphological study of six cucurbitaceous species
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research manuscript entitled, "Comparative foliar micro-morphological study of six cucurbitaceous species." is a fascinating and useful study. Readers of the Journal, as well as other researchers working on this crop will be useful globally. Following the minor revisions, this work should be published:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussion is too short. 2. Add DOI. Numbers in the all possible references. 3. Add the related recent references in the manuscript. 4. Add the all abbreviations in detail after keywords. 5. For all references, stick to the journal's format. 6. See for other comments in enclosed files. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussion is too short. 2. Add DOI. Numbers in the all possible references. 3. Add the related recent references in the manuscript. 4. Add the all abbreviations in detail after keywords. 5. For all references, stick to the journal's format. See for other comments in enclosed files 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The research manuscript entitled, "Comparative foliar micro-morphological study of six cucurbitaceous species." is a fascinating and useful study. Readers of the Journal, as well as other researchers working on this crop will be useful globally. Following the minor revisions, this work should be published</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.K. Lal
Department, University & Country	CSIR-CIMAP, India