

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_85736
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE OF PEARL MILLET (PENNISETUM TYPHOIDES (BURM F.) TO DIFFERENT FERTILIZER APPLICATIONS UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article is a case study that may be of interest to a wide audience. The structure of the document is clear and the objectives are relevant. Overall, the concept is consistent with the scope of Asian Journal of Research in Botany. If some improvements are made, I think it deserves to be published in your journal.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>For Figure 1, page, try using a georeferenced map.</li><li>Add a few lines on the climatic conditions (precipitation and temperature) of the study area.</li><li>Table 2 has not been commented, to be checked.</li><li>The bibliographical references used are very old, can you add other more current ones?</li><li>Conclusion needs some improvement: To develop more</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>-The authors compare the response of Pearl millet to organic manure, NPK fertilizer, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as biofertilizer. The methodology adopted is adapted to the nature of the study. The topic is relevant with a great importance.</p> <p>-The discussion needs to be reinforced</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lionboui Hayat
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Agronomic Research (INRA), Morocco