

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_78170
Title of the Manuscript:	White tongue could be an early manifestations of skin diseases and of important descriptive and diagnostic value
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This study describes different manifestations associated with white tongue in patients. The author claimed that this type of study was not conducted earlier but it has been observed that similar research studies were conducted in past too. However this manuscript can be considered for publication after the following corrections and modifications.</p> <p><b>Title:</b>Need revision The authors mentioned in the title that white tongue could be an early manifestation of skin diseases, while many mucosal infections have been mentioned later in results associated with white tongue.why?</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Most of the paragraphs are very short and comprise 2-3 sentences. Better to structure the introduction in 3-4 strong and comprehensive paragraphs. The name of fungi <i>Candida albicans</i> must be italicize.</p> <p><b>Patients and Methods:</b> Approval from Institutional review board was not mentioned. The study period 2013- 2021 is a long duration for the collection of only 23 cases. Was this small size of samples were significant for the study? The methods of diagnosis of different diseases associated with white tongue were not mentioned. References were not at all cited throughout this part of manuscript.</p> <p><b>Results:</b> Mention percentages of all figures in Table 1.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> Rewrite discussion by rephrasing and making appropriate paragraphs. Avoid using "it`s", write it is where required</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sehar Afshan Naz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal Urdu University Of Arts, Science And Technology, Pakistan</b>