

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_89366
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis and Development of Mobile Device Authentication Framework for Corporate Networks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I congratulate the author on a job well done! The research is very interesting and it is obvious that the researcher devoted ample of time and effort.</p> <p>I recommend the following revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the first tense from the paper. Words like “we” or “I” or “my”. 2. There were ample of grammatical errors and punctuation errors. Please make sure to put the period at the end of your paragraphs. 3. Please either add a section called “Research Methodology” or make sure you address the following in the “Data Collection” section. I would like to see (a) what is the research methodology you used? (b) What is the industry you collected the sample from? (c) What is your total sample size? (d) How can you justify that your sample was not biased? 4. Describe and justify how did you collect your data? How did you manage to have 100% response rate? 5. In Figure # 2, you discuss about Bandwidth constraint but you never mention Security threats even though they are at 90%. 6. Please add Limitation of the Study. 7. In your conclusion you may consider adding: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) What is the value added of your study to the literature (b) What is your recommendation and what are the consequences if the industry chose not to apply your recommendations. (c) What is your future expansion? I wish you the best of luck! 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Grammatical errors. 2. Punctuation errors. 3. Remove first tense. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seta Whitby
Department, University & Country	University of La Verne, United States of America