

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_88426
Title of the Manuscript:	Features of the organization of the software development process: analysis of the effectiveness of the implementation of DevSecOps practices
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The abstract is written section-wise. It must be in paragraph form, highlighting the novelty and key results of this study.</p> <p>2. Towards the end of the Introduction Section, the author states 'None of the aforementioned studies comprehensively describe DevSecOp and for what purpose it is used. There is no single search work on DevSecOp that comprehensively describes its nature and its presented literature review to the author's best knowledge'. However, the author has not mentioned the novelty of this study i.e., how does this study fill the gap of existing studies. This must be detailed.</p> <p>3. The results of Table 3 must be discussed in detail.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The grammatical and typo errors can be rectified by through proof-reading</p> <p>2. The current title is very long. The Author can check if the following title suits better: <b>Organizational Features of Software Development Process: Analysis of Implementational Effectiveness for DevSecOps Practices</b></p> <p>3. Author could look to replace older references with newer and recent references (say between the years 2019-2022)</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author could add the scope of future work at the end of the conclusion.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sridhar Iyer
Department, University & Country	KLE Technological University, India