

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_86680
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the Prognostication of Crop Diseases using Artificial Intelligence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper proposed an artificial intelligence-based method for agriculture, that is, it can judge whether the crop may be “healthy” or the “diseased”. And the author(s) adopted Python-based Django framework to develop the corresponding web application.</p> <p>This paper is interesting. I have the following comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Currently many deep learning-based algorithms have been developed/applied for agriculture, maybe it is better to use a section to present/discuss these related work in the literature. Also, please add appropriate references. 2. The font in Figures 17-19 looks smaller than the font in Figure 22. Please check and modify it. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are several tiny issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some pictures are centered and some are not, please unify them; 2. In References, some authors use abbreviations, and some don't, for example, Ref. [4] vs. Ref. [2]. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xinxing Wu
Department, University & Country	University of Kentucky, United States