

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_80123
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCING THE DESIGN OF A SECURED CAMPUS NETWORK USING DEMILITARIZED ZONE AND HONEYPOT AT UEW- KUMASI CAMPUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Literature survey is not proper. Need to explore some of the recent solutions regarding the use of honeypots and DMZ for the campus security Detailed methodology not explained properly in methodology section. The stages of the four stage penetration testing is not explained in the methodology. Writing errors are there in the paper. The figure 3.1 is mentioned in paper and there is no figure in paper with number 3.1. Likewise many grammatical and writing mistakes are there in the paper More study required with respect to the security solutions Proper result analysis should be presented. The evidence of better results of fusion of DMZ and honeypots for the security of campus is not presented properly. There is mentioned in paper that the algorithm is mentioned in Appendix 4 but no appendices present in the paper Recent works regarding the security is not included in paper. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Proper formatting in the paper is not there. Can show comparison of your work with other approaches. More research papers need to be studied 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankit D. Patel
Department, University & Country	Shri S'ad Vidya Mandal Institute of Technology, India