

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_78277
Title of the Manuscript:	Common Fallacies about Multivalued Circuits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract is written by a very difficult manner, I think it needs to be more readable by the reader. - Is possible to construct a suitable flowchart for the study? - These type of studies must contains for tables to highlite the more affected points. - What is the reason for adding the combinational circuits and memory circuit? figures 12 and 14 needs more discussion. - The auther used very high level in the writing, can he facilate the contents or at least draw an declaration figures or curves? 	
Minor REVISION comments	The title is very closed.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raid W. Daoud
Department, University & Country	Northern Technical University, Iraq