

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_77324
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF OSPFv3/IS-IS AND RIPng/IS-IS MIXED PROTOCOLS FOR REAL-TIME APPLICATIONS IN IPv6 COMMUNICATION NETWORKS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is suggested to compare with the recent paper .Performance (Throughput, Response time etc) comparison should be in tabular form. 2. In conclusion future work must be included in the manuscript. 3. Fig 16 it is written in sec but in fig it is mentioned in mins. Kindly look into matter. 4. In all figures texts are not visible .Kindly check. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>ex after 2018 No recent papers included in the references. It is compulsory to add recent papers otherwise how to know efficiency and robustness of the proposed work.</p> <p>[1] -- Fatima and SamiaBinteAlam "A ProportionalPerformance investigation route Relocation among three different routing Protocols using OPNET simulation" Department of electrical and electronic engineering Faculty of sciences and engineering East West University Summer, 2018.</p> <p>Not in proper format.</p> <p>[2] Pan, J., & Jain, R. (2008). A survey of network simulation tools: Current status and future developments. [www.cse.wustl.edu], (accessed 2015 December 7).</p> <p>Not in proper format. Kindly check reference section.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Smita Parija
Department, University & Country	C.V. RAMAN Global University, India

Review Form 1.6