

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_77498
Title of the Manuscript:	Heart Failure: Causes, Investigations and Updates on Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Heart failure is one of the most important cardiovascular disease, considering the increasing morbidity and mortality rate. It is also the most frequent cardiovascular reason for hospital admission among people older than 60 years of age. In recent years, the management of patients with HFrEF has seen significant improvements, both in terms of medical and device therapies.</p> <p>This seems like a narrative review, but selected data are highly biased. For instance, many important studies are missed; Hy-C, V-HeFT, ELLITE, Val-HeFT, GISSI-HF, J-MELODIC, studies regarding digitalis, inotropes, natriuretic peptide, direct renin inhibitor and other types of drugs. In addition, device studies such as Echo-HF, etc are lacking. In non-pharmacological approach, studies regarding ventricular assist devices and neuromodulation should be included. I suggest to include them and highlight the original elements of the study.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Larisa Anghel
Department, University & Country	Universitatea de Medicină și Farmacie "Grigore T. Popa", Romania