

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_77307
Title of the Manuscript:	Aortic Dissection: Causes, Investigations and Treatment
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Thank you for selecting this subject which is interesting, but the author made great writing mistakes; As a review article, "results or discussion" should not be included in the design of the manuscript. Lot of serious writing mistakes are present (highlighted in yellow) that affect the meaning and reflect nonprofessional writing' which should include strict revision before submission. I think that the author "literally" translated many phrases from a foreign language into English which caused these mistakes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naglaa Mostafa Elsayed
Department, University & Country	King Abdulaziz University, KSA And Cairo University, Egypt