

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRB_80881 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION OF REPRODUCTIVE HORMONE, ELECTROLYTES AND SERUM PROTEIN IN PREGNANT WOMEN WITH DIFFERENT GENOTYPES |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>This is an interesting study and the author has collected and analyzed a unique dataset using a cutting-edge methodology. The paper is generally well written. However, in my opinion, the paper has some shortcomings and I opine that this unique dataset has not been utilized to its full extent. Please see below my remarks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Text: Regarding text and structure, there are too many typographical errorsthe author should proofread the final draft of the article to check for typographical errors and ensure the use of uniform line spacing. • Methodology: The author should state the methodology deployed and the research design. The author should be specific about the number of the hospital used as per the target population. Hence state the population andthe sampling technique. • References: The author should use the right referencing format for theresearch and ensure that in-text citations are referenced. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Literature: I also suggest that the author should cite <u>more</u> relevant and<u>recent</u> literature that encompasses the variables in the study. • Conclusion: The conclusion should feature the entire work and findingsrather than focusing on an aspect of the research (sickle cell). | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Patricia G. N. Harry |
| Department, University & Country | University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital , Nigeria |