

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_80626
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional evaluation of Moringa oleifera leaf and the effect of its bio-fortification with animal feed on physical changes and organ weights in male albino rats
Type of the Article	Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Regarding the dose of MOL used in the current study, on what basis did you choose these doses?</p> <p><b>Materials:</b> you should add a new title "statistical analysis" to mention the software used in your data analysis.</p> <p><b>The results:</b> you should explain the results in brief not just placing the tables and figures in this part.</p> <p><b>Table2:</b> what do the numbers in the tables refer to? There is great difference between the raw data and the statistical analysis. Please explain.</p> <p>You do not need to show the raw data.</p> <p>The paragraphs where you explain your results need to be organized, meaning that you have to add subtitles to the results section in the same order of subtitles in the materials part and under each subtitle you place your results (paragraph explaining the result and related table or figure). Do not start the paragraph with "table .. explains...." Instead for example:  <b>4.1. proximate analysis of Moringa oleivera</b>  <b>The results of the analysis revealed that .... as shown in table 1...</b></p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> do not use word like " in agreement with" instead mention results of previous research and explain yours in comparison.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The table format should be adjusted	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-----	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zizy I. ELbialy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt</b>