

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_70876
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of some alkali and alkaline earth metal ions on the initial velocities of <i>Congregibacter litoralis</i> KT71 $\beta$ -lactamase hydrolysis of 4-nitrophenyl myristate.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript is interesting. However, there are few points which needs to be addressed. <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Discussion of the results is completely missing in the manuscript. Author should explain their results with discussion.</li><li>2. Authors have not compared their results with other researchers work.</li><li>3. Relevance of the results should be detailed in the end of result and discussion or in the conclusion.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. At many places, <i>Congregibacter litoralis</i> is not italicized for e. g. in Abstract and result and Discussion. Author should check this in the manuscript.</li><li>2. Recent reports related to the topic are missing in the article. Authors should do a detailed review citing recent studies related to work.</li><li>3. Formatting needs to be done properly.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ankita Sehrawat
Department, University & Country	Maitreyi College, University of Delhi, India