

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_83486
Title of the Manuscript:	BIO-ECONOMIC MODEL OF HALAL GOAT PRODUCTION SYSTEM IN THE PROVINCE OF MAGUINDANAO, AUTONOMOUS REGION IN MUSLIM MINDANAO, PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Authors should make the corrections required by the arbitrator as mentioned in the study. - My opinion for the Tables 1 and 2 the incorporated in this study the modifying that mentioned by the reviewer its important in illustrated these data in clear form to explain the obtained results - Statistical analysis not T. Test but it one way analysis of variance because it contained more than of two treatments. - My golden advice in future study - This study depend on economic evaluation but it not occurring or found in this study. So, it must be recording the following data in order to calculation the economic evaluation: Quantity of fed intake – Price or costing of one Kg feed – Price of one Kg live body weight of goats – value of goats gain – net revenue – profit above feeding costing – relative economic efficiency – feed cost (By the local coin / Kg gain) - Also, it can be adding table shows the performance includes live weight – feed intake – feed conversion ... this aiming to make a comparison among the three tested rations used in this study - It is useful or important recording the water intake to study the effect of different roughage sources that used in this study on water intake. - It can be make a digestibility trials using marker technique wit grab samples. - Studying the ruminal fermentation and blood parameters. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors should take matters as important and make all corrections as indicated by the reviewer.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Firstly: The objective of this work is important in formulating the cheap rations for small ruminant.</p> <p>Would you please my dear authors: In the beginning it is necessary occurred this observation by the authors before sending their MS to publication in the choice Journal this aimed to realize the lower revision or corrections that recorded by the Editor or that request from the reviewer.</p> <p>This work need to make a comparison among the different treatments. study</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamed Abdel-Aziz Ali Omer
Department, University & Country	Agricultural and Biological Research Institute, National Research Centre, Egypt