

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_78158
Title of the Manuscript:	Reduced albendazole efficacy in goats naturally infected with strongyle nematodes in Dagrased area, South Darfur State, Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	-	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Experimental design</b>	The present on-farm trial has been properly designed to define the efficacy of widely used anthelmintic drug for natural grazing goat. However, the development on the gastrointestinal nematodes strongly affected by nutritional status of livestock animals. The authors need to describe the nutritional status of goats used in the study. It would be better (if data available) supported by the profile of blood haematology.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Khalil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Andalas University, Indonesia</b>