

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_75628
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study of Semen Collection from Rabbits using Locally Assembled and Conventional Artificial Vaginas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Although the content is not huge, the authors could establish what they wanted to establish. One thing should take into consideration that, how the findings will contribute to the field of study - please write in detail about this issue.</p> <p>The method (Semen color) used in this study is not as reliable as some new methods, and the results provided in the manuscript are hard to interpret. For example, the same conditions should be given when distinguishing the color of semen in the manuscript (tube and equal volume). In addition, opinions about the time of coagulation after semen collection and the impact of the external environment on the sperm are important.</p> <p>A comparison of semen collection devices through a simple illustration will make this study easier to understand.</p> <p>The discussion section needs more improvement focusing on the novelty of the study.</p> <p>Limitations of the study can be mentioned at the end of the Discussion section.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The references part indicates that very few recently published articles are cited. Please do this suggestion effected in the revised version.</p> <p>Minor, but there are some grammatical errors.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sang Hwan, Kim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hankyong National University, Korea</b>